

**REMARKS**

Claims 1-27 are pending in this application.

Applicant appreciates the courtesies shown to Applicant's representative by Examiner Richer during the June 4, 2009 personal interview. Applicant's separate record of the substance of the interview is incorporated into the following remarks.

The Office Action (1) rejects claims 1-5, 7, 11-13, 16-18 and 21-25 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) over U.S. Patent No. 5,748,189 to Trueblood in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,333,750 to Odryna et al. (Odryna), and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,424,320 to Callway; and (2) rejects claims 6, 8-10,14-15, 19-20 and 26-27 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) over Trueblood in view of Odryna and Callway, and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,812,907 to Gennetten et al. (Gennetten). Applicant respectfully traverses the rejections.

Regarding independent claims 1, 11 and 22, the applied references, even if combined, fail to result in "the first and second display devices being so constructed and arranged such that when the first image information data is displayed on the first display device and the second image information data is displayed on the second display device the resulting displayed single image appears to be substantially continuous across the first and second display areas to a viewer situated to view the image and the displayed resolution of the portion of the image displayed on the first display area is different than the displayed resolution of the portion of the image displayed on the second display area such that one of: scaling, brightness, color, and translation of the displayed first image information data and the displayed second image information data are both within a predetermined tolerance value" (emphasis added) as recited in claim 1 and similarly recited in claims 11 and 22.

The Office Action acknowledges that neither Trueblood nor Odryna discloses display such that one of: scaling, brightness, color, and translation of the displayed first image

information data and the displayed second image information data are both within a predetermined tolerance value, but cites to Callway as curing this deficiency.

Callway is directed to alternately providing video stream output from each of two graphics adapters to a common port (see col. 2, lines 36-45; col. 6, line 65 to col. 3, line 3; col. 3, lines 49-52; and col. 7, lines 15-18). Two adaptors are used because one adaptor can not keep up with the processing needed for continuous video (col. 1, lines 41-44).

Callway discloses color matching between the alternate video streams to ensure continuous playback on the single monitor (Fig. 4, step 460; col. 7, lines 31-40). Because Callway does not disclose displaying of first and second information on first and second display devices such that they are within a predetermined tolerance value (citing the language of claim 1), Callway cannot cure the deficiencies of Trueblood and Odryna.

As agreed at the personal interview, Callway does not disclose matching any characteristic between two displays because Callway discloses matching colors between successive frames displayed on a same display.

For the foregoing reasons, Applicant requests withdrawal of the rejections.

In view of the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted that this application is in condition for allowance. Favorable reconsideration and prompt allowance are earnestly solicited.

Should the Examiner believe that anything further would be desirable in order to place this application in even better condition for allowance, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned at the telephone number set forth below.

Respectfully submitted,



James A. Oliff  
Registration No. 27,075

Jonathan H. Backenstose  
Registration No. 47,399

JAO:JHB

Date: June 15, 2009

**OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC**  
**P.O. Box 320850**  
**Alexandria, Virginia 22320-4850**  
**Telephone: (703) 836-6400**

**DEPOSIT ACCOUNT USE  
AUTHORIZATION**  
Please grant any extension  
necessary for entry;  
Charge any fee due to our  
Deposit Account No. 15-0461